OCTOBER • 1987 \$1.75

# Writer

- Commercial vs. Literary the artificial debate —Jean M. Auel
- The reader as partner —Tony Hillerman
- How I write Gail Godwin
- Creating suspense in the young adult mystery

   Joan Lowery Nixon
- A sense of place —Mary Elmblad
- Writing the personal experience article —Shirley Lueth

### • Special features

- Answers to questions writers ask
   —Sidney Sheldon
- The growing market for juvenile books
- Where to sell short fiction

• Off the Cuff The writer's eye —Randall Silvis



International Distributors (ICD), which distributes The Writer to newsstands nationally, has recently presented us with a plaque with the following inscription: "The Writer and ICD -25 years as successful partners, 100 years as a leader in writing excellence. Here's to many more!"

We are pleased to accept this special recognition of these two milestones in The Writer's history.

- The Editors

## Coming . . . "Poet to Poet"

E ARE pleased to announce that beginning in March, 1988, we will resume a regular column on poetry, "Poet to Poet," which will be conducted on a quarterly basis by Denise D. Dumars. Ms. Dumars is a well-known, prizewinning poet and teacher who conducts "The Shop," an advanced poetry workshop at the Beyond Baroque Foundation in Venice, California.

In "Poet to Poet," Ms. Dumars will discuss various aspects of poetry writing, giving in each column brief criticisms of several poems she has selected for submissions from our readers. Her first column will be devoted to seasonal poetry. Readers are invited to submit poems on this topic following the rules on page 41.

# The Writer

\* 100th Anniversary Year \*

#### **OCTOBER** • 1987

"COMMERCIAL" VS.	"LITERARY" -	
The Artificial Deba		
	Jean M. Auel	9
THE READER AS PART	INER	
Constanting of the	Tony Hillerman	14
How I WRITE	Gail Godwin	17
CREATING SUSPENSE I	N THE	
YOUNG ADULT MYS	STERY	
Jou	an Lowery Nixon	19
A SENSE OF PLACE	Mary Elmblad	22
PERSONALLY YOURS	Shirley Lueth	25

#### **Special Market Features**

THE GROWING MARKET FOR		
JUVENILE BOOKS	28	
Selling to Dembner Books	31	

WHERE TO SELL MANUSCRIPTS	
Fiction; Syndicates	32
Prize Offers	47
Letters to the Editor	2
ROVING EDITOR	4
THEY SAY	5
THE PROFESSIONAL RESPONSE Answers to Questions Writers Ask Sidney Sheldon	6
OFF THE CUFF The Writer's Eye Randall Silvis	7
MARKET NEWSLETTER	48

THE WRITER (ISSN 0043-9517) is published monthly by The Writer, Inc., 120 Boylston St., Boston, MA 02116. Subscription rates in U.S.A., \$20.00 a year, \$39.00 for 2 years, \$57.00 for 3 years; Canadian: \$26.00 a year, \$51.00 for 2 years; foreign: \$26.00 a year, \$51.00 for 2 years. Special introductory rate for new subscribers: 6 issues, \$8.00. Second-Class Postage Paid at Boston, MA and additional mailing office. October, 1987. Vol. 100, No. 10. Copyright © 1987 by The Writer, Inc. All rights reserved. Three weeks' notice required for change of address on subscriptions: both old and new addresses should be given. POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE WRITER, 120 Boylston St., Boston, MA 02116.

# **The Reader As Partner**

by TONY HILLERMAN

"Every writer is engaged in a joint venture every time he writes.... The reader is a working member of the team...."

S OMETIME very early in my efforts to make a living as a writer, I noticed an odd little fact, trivial but useful: People just back from seeing the Rocky Mountains didn't describe the Front Range. They told me about the clump of mountain iris they'd seen blooming through the edge of a dwindling snowbank. Witnesses of a train wreck I interviewed when I was a reporter would describe the women's clothing scattered along the right-of-way and ignore the big picture. The fellow drinking beer after watching the rodeo would talk about the sounds the bulls made coming out the gate — not the derring-do of the champion rider.

I noticed my own brain worked that way, too: It would store a scattering of details in full color and with every stitch showing, but the general scene would be vague and ill-defined. I presumed that this was the way run-of-the-mill men and women remembered things, and thus, it would be useful for writers in the process of converting a scene that exists in our minds into words that would recreate it in the imagination of those who read what we write.

I doubt if there is anything new or original about this thinking or this tactic. Selecting significant details to cause the reader to focus attention exactly where it's wanted was being done with quill pen on papyrus and probably before. Except for those dilettantes of the "art for art's sake" school, every writer is engaged in a joint venture every time he writes. He looks at what's behind his own forehead and translates it into words. At the other end of the crosscut saw, the reader drinks in those words and tries to transmute them back into images.

It's a partnership. We work at it. So does the reader.

But we're getting paid for it, in money, fame (if we're lucky), and in the fun of controlling the process. The reader expects a different reward for the cash and time he or she invests. Even so, that reader is a working member of the team.

I always write with some clear notions about those for whom I write. They are, for example, a little more intelligent than I am and have a bit better education. They have good imaginations.

Crime writer TONY HILLERMAN is the author of eight mysteries featuring Navajo detectives Jim Chee and Joe Leaphorn. The latest of these novels, *Skinwalkers* (published by Harper & Row in 1987), was a Book-of-the-Month Club Alternate Selection. His earlier works include *Dance Hall of the Dead*, winner of the 1974 Edgar Allan Poe Award; *Fly on the Wall*, republished by Garland in its "Fifty Classics of Crime Fiction" series; and *Boy Who Made Dragonfly*, honored by the Western Writers of America for children's literature. A former reporter and editor, Mr. Hillerman participates frequently in writing seminars. His earlier article, "Building Without Blueprints," appeared in the February 1986 issue of *The Writer*.

# "The reader's imagination creates the character from his or her own experience...."

They enjoy suspense. They are impatient. They are middle-aged. They are busy. They know very little about the specific subject I'm writing about. They are interested in it only if I can provoke that interest.

Given that, how should I go about my business? For example, how should I describe in physical terms this benign character I am about to introduce in chapter three? Not much, probably, if that character is to be important to the plot, and the reader is to come to know him from repeated meetings. But quite a bit if said character takes the stage only briefly.

Why this odd inversion? Because my intelligent, well-educated, middle-aged, imaginative reader knows from personal experience what various sorts of people look like. Therefore, if you use a character a lot, the reader paints his own portrait. For example, as far as I can remember, I have never given more than the vaguest descriptions of either Joe Leaphorn or Jim Chee, the two Navajo Tribal policemen who are often the protagonists in my mystery novels. Yet, scores of readers have described them to me. Tall and short, big and little, plump and lean, handsome and homely. The reader's imagination creates the character from his or her own experience, making the policeman look exactly the way he should look. Why should the writer argue with that? Why should the person who is investing money and time in reading my story be denied his role in the creative process?

Minor characters, I think, need more description. The reader is likely to see them only briefly through the eyes of the protagonist. He should be as curious about minor characters as is the viewpoint character — looking for the spot of gravy on the necktie, the nervous twitch at the corner of the eye, the dark roots of the bleached blonde hair, the scar tissue on the left cheek. Our reader won't see this minor actor enough to fit him into any personal mold.

Sometimes, of course, the writer must exercise more control over the image the reader would create. The story line may demand that the reader know the character is burly, has an artificial hand, and that his eyes tend to water if he stands too long reading the sympathy cards in the Hallmark shop. Otherwise, I count on the reader to perform his half of the task with no interference from me. I think he enjoys it more.

THIS notion of the reader as partner in a game of imagination affects how I write in many other ways. For example, there's that hard-to-define something which I think of as "mood." It exists in my mind as I write a scene. Sometimes it is merely the mental state of the viewpoint character through whose eyes whatever is happening is seen. But it can be more than that, or even different from that. For example, I may need to send signals to the reader that it is time for nervous anxiety, while the protagonist is still happily remembering that there's nothing left to worry about.

I tend to take on the mood of the scene writing with lower lip gripped between my teeth when doom is impending, writing with a grin when all is well in chapter nine. I want the reader to join me in this mood. And here I'm on shaky ground. I simply have no way of knowing if my tactics work.

They involve engaging the reader's senses. I interrupt the dialogue or the action to show the reader through the eyes of the protagonist the dust on the windowsill, the grime on the windowpane, the tumbleweeds blowing across the yard, the broken gate creaking in the wind, the spider scurrying toward the center of its web, the stuffed weasel in its frozen leap toward the cowering quail in the taxidermy display. I have the reader notice the odors of old age, of decay, and of air breathed too often in a closed and claustrophobic room. I have him hear the sort of vague sounds that intrude into tired, tense silences. These are the sorts of signals my senses are open to when I am in this certain mood. If they don't contribute to causing it, at least they reflect it. Perhaps the same will be true for the reader.

Another mood. Another set of sensory signals. Take satisfaction-contentment-happiness (what

# "I count on the reader to perform his half of the task with no interference from me."

my Navajo characters might call "hozro"). There's the smell of rain on the air (remember, I write mostly about a landscape where rain is all rare and a joyful blessing), the aroma of brewing coffee, the promising voice of distant thunder, the sound of birds, the long view through slanting sunlight of sage and buffalo grass, and the mountains on the horizon, a sense of beauty with room enough and time enough to enjoy it, and the good feeling of fresh-baked bread under the fingertips.

Unless some psychologist can come up with a universal catalogue of which objects/smells/ sounds are connected in the mind of Average Human with which mood, neither you nor I will ever know how effective this technique is. My conversations with those who have read my work suggest that sometimes I can make it work, and sometimes I fail. But I am working at it, using my only laboratory animal — myself — as guinea pig.

SOMEONE I meet pleases me. I think I would like them. Why? Well, you know . . . there was just something about him. But specifically, exactly what was it? Go back, you sluggard, and remember. What was it, specifically and exactly, that first caused you to start looking at and listening to this stranger? It was the body language, the expression, that told you he was really and intently listening when you talked to him. Interested in you and in what you were saying. So how can that be described most effectively? And what else was there? The way he said things? The turn of phrase. To defer. Not to interrupt. The tendency not to overdescribe, to presume his listener was intelligent and informed. Whatever it was, isolate it. Remember it. Have it handy the

next time you want to introduce this sort of person to the reader.

A scene depresses me, leaves me out of sorts and angry. Why? The coldness of the room, the dim, yellow light, the tarnish on the good tassel on the rope, the arrogant stare of the hostess, the slick, clammy coolness of the surface of this table. ... What else?

I awake at night from a bad dream, tense and anxious. Quick. Dissect the mood before it evaporates. Nightmares are rare these days for me. For a man who deals in suspense, fear and tension, they are too valuable to waste. What was in it and in the darkness around the bed that provokes this uneasiness and anxiety? Specifically what do you hear, or smell, or feel or see that causes this painful tension?

I have been doing this for years: stripping down people and places, dissecting their looks and their mannerisms, filling the storage bins of imagination with useful parts; doing the same with street scenes, with landscapes, with the weather. When I wrote only nonfiction, such stuff was jotted in my notebook — the telltale details I trained my mind to isolate and collect. The anthropologist squatted on a grassy slope beside an anthill, his calloused fingers sifting through those tiny grains ants bring to the surface, frowning in his fierce hope of finding a chip from a Stone Age artifact. The same fingers sorting through the residue left on the sifter-frame over his wheelbarrow, eliminating the gravel, roots, and rabbit droppings, saving the tiny chips flaked from a flint lance point; finding a twig to fish out the angry scorpion and return him to the grass. And that final detail, I hope my reader will agree, does more than put him on the scene with me. It gives him insight into the character of the man who owns the calloused fingers.

